


#17/12-1-2004
Ellis
8-12-04
PATENT



Reply under 37 CFR 1.116
Expedited Procedure
Technology Center 3600

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: RENEE FRENGUT
Serial No. 09/883,590
Filed: June 18, 2002
For: INTERNET BASED QUALITATIVE
RESEARCH METHOD AND SYSTEM

Art Unit: 3623

Examiner: Andre D. Boyce

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

FEB 10 2004

GROUP 3600

AMENDMENT AND REMARKS IN RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

Applicant hereby responds to the Examiner's Office Action of June 10, 2003 in which claim 4 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph; claims 1-4, 7, 11-13 and 16-31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0072955 to Brock (hereinafter "Brock") in view of U.S. Patent 6,256,663 to Davis, et al. (hereinafter "Davis"); claims 5, 6, 8, 9 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brock in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0002482 to Thomas (hereinafter "Thomas"); and claims 10 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brock in view of Thomas, in further view of U.S. Patent 6,385,590 to Levine (hereinafter "Levine").

Please amend the above-identified application and enter Applicant's response into the record as follows:

Amendments to the Specification begin on page 2 of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 7 of this paper.